

To: Turvey, Martha[Turvey.Martha@epa.gov]
Cc: FOSTER Eugene P[FOSTER.Eugene@deq.state.or.us]; CAMACHO Ivan[CAMACHO.Ivan@deq.state.or.us]; KISHIDA Koto[Kishida.Koto@deq.state.or.us]; SEEDS Joshua[SEEDS.Joshua@deq.state.or.us]; YON Donald R[YON.Donald@deq.state.or.us]; BROWN Trina[Brown.Trina@deq.state.or.us]
From: YON Donald R
Sent: Wed 4/9/2014 9:25:40 PM
Subject: RE: Oregon NPS Management Plan Review & Comment
2013 NPS ANNUAL REPORT AND NPS MANAGEMENT PLAN SCHEDULE.docx

Martha thanks for the update on EPA Region 10 NPS staff assignments. In summary, you will be working on the 319 Grant Program and not reviewing and providing comments to DEQ on the Oregon NPS Plan and I think but am not sure not the 2013 NPS Annual Report. For the 319 Grant program you will communicate with Ivan Camacho, DEQ's 319 Grant program coordinator. Ivan's email address is: CAMACHO.Ivan@deq.state.or.us and his telephone number of (503) 229-5088.

DEQ had sent and communicated to Rick Seaborne the following dates for the review and submittal of the **Final NPS Management Plan**: The end of the comment period for federal (including EPA) and state agencies is **Wednesday, April 16** and submittal of our EPA Approved FINAL NPS plan to EPA R10 will be on **Monday, April 28th**. For the **Final Draft 2013 Annual Report** the review and comment period is closing at the COB today and then the **Final 2013 NPS Annual Report** was planned to be sent to EPA R10 **as soon as possible** after all revisions were made by me.

So you can see that we need to have EPA R10 assign a staff or staff persons to begin review and comment of the Oregon NPS Plan and the 2013 NPS Annual Report. Rick said that the annual report had the highest priority since EPA funds for the 319 Grant program were received early this year. It was my understanding that Rick was ready to issue Oregon's monies soon. Don

From: Turvey, Martha [mailto:Turvey.Martha@epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2014 11:40 AM
To: YON Donald R
Subject: RE: Oregon NPS Management Plan Review & Comment

Don – It was news to me that when I was assigned the 319 that the plan was a separate issue. Dave my supervisor is trying not to overwhelm us with too much. I am hoping that we have a meeting soon and that part can get sorted out. There are a few people available that can help out with Oregon in our group so he is trying to take advantage of that. I will send a note to Ivan and set up a time to talk grants.

I am not really sure about the need for the work force reductions other than the budget dictates that. Projections are not looking good. Given all the issues with the environment and climate change you would think that we would at least have a stable budget. Apparently not. The rumors are that even with all the reductions we have had this year we have not met the goals, so there will probably be more not too far down the road. So with all this uncertainty I can never be sure about whether I will have the Oregon grants and maybe the plan for now or into the future. If you need the plan reviewed in the short term that would be good for me to know. I have no sense of the schedule for that. Whoever gets it will need to know.

Martha

From: YON Donald R [<mailto:YON.Donald@deq.state.or.us>]
Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2014 11:06 AM
To: Turvey, Martha
Cc: YON Donald R
Subject: RE: Oregon NPS Management Plan Review & Comment

I do not understand why these reductions are occurring. I try to keep up on environmental issues.

Friday is a good day for me to talk if it is about the plan. I thought your last emails said that you were assigned to work on the plan, not 319 Grant work. Ivan Camacho is our lead 319 Grant staff person. It sounds like you and Ivan should be talking. If this is correct, Ivan's email address is: CAMACHO.Ivan@deq.state.or.us and his telephone number of (503) 229-5088.

Let me know if this is correct and I will talk with Ivan today and give him your information. Let me know as soon as the decision is made who will be assigned to review and comment on the Oregon NPS Program management Plan. Don

From: Turvey, Martha [<mailto:Turvey.Martha@epa.gov>]
Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2014 10:39 AM
To: YON Donald R
Subject: RE: Oregon NPS Management Plan Review & Comment

Don – thanks for sending your schedule. I am usually in the Seattle office 5 days a week but am starting my summer schedule and have every other Friday off. I also have an option of working at home and will do that on occasion depending on how booked I am with meetings. You can reach me there at [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] But basically I am available most of the week -from 7:45 to 5:15.

For now I have been assigned the 319 grants. Jayne Carlin will continue to be the point of contact with our headquarters office and no decision has been made on who will review the plan. We will figure that out soon. Eventually we will [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] and so this may be just a temporary assignment for me. Actually I am not really sure about the replacement given the EPA budget. I am not sure how much flexibility they will have after we just had a mass exodus of employees this month.

This week it looks like Friday is open. If that day is good for you please give me a call. I would just like to have a general conversation on the active grants. I feel proud that I was able to locate the grants on our shelves. You may not know this but we are also preparing to move our offices to a new floor and so we need to reduce our files to fit the smaller spaces. So many of us have had to move our files creating a backlog in the main filing areas. [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] In my career I have been involved in moving to new office space, watching reductions in work force and budget resulting in sudden increases in workload, and had my computer system/phone upgraded to new systems, but usually not all at once.

I also have a new cell phone, but this is a self-inflicted improvement Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy. So much to adjust to. Hope to talk to you soon.

Martha

From: YON Donald R [mailto:YON.Donald@deq.state.or.us]
Sent: Friday, April 04, 2014 11:29 AM
To: Turvey, Martha
Cc: YON Donald R
Subject: RE: Oregon NPS Management Plan Review & Comment

Martha, you are very right about how

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy In my career I have been in your position and I will do all I can to make your job go easy with me. Do you work in Seattle?

This is my work schedule. When I work in Portland it may vary on the time I arrive Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy But usually I start at around 8:30 AM and end at 5:30 PM. On

Wednesdays it will vary when I work the 4 hours

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Sometimes I may have a split schedule with 2 hours in the morning and 2 hours in the afternoon.

On Fridays I telecommute Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy and generally start at 8 AM:

Monday: 9 hours in Portland

Tuesday: 9 hours in Portland

Wednesday: 4 hours telecommuting **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

Thursday: 9 hours in Portland (sometimes I may telecommute)

Friday: 9 hours telecommuting **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

So, depending on your schedule I can talk or meet with you about any of the times I work.

Every week I have my weekly meeting with Gene on Mondays from 3:15 PM to 3:45 PM and a staff meeting this next week Tuesday from 9 AM till 10 AM (they happen every other week).

And this week I have a meeting on Thursday from 10 AM to 11 AM.

So let me know what time works. And please feel free to call me anytime you need help such as this weekend. When I telecommute you can reach me at my landline of [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]. My cell phone number is [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] Don

From: Turvey, Martha [<mailto:Turvey.Martha@epa.gov>]

Sent: Thursday, April 03, 2014 12:53 PM

To: YON Donald R

Subject: RE: Oregon NPS Management Plan Review & Comment

Thanks Don – I know very little about 319 and so have lots to catch up on. [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]

[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] I will try and make a point of reading the NPS plan over the next week. When would be a good time to schedule some time?

From: YON Donald R [<mailto:YON.Donald@deq.state.or.us>]

Sent: Thursday, April 03, 2014 11:32 AM

To: FOSTER Eugene P; Turvey, Martha

Cc: YON Donald R

Subject: RE: Oregon NPS Management Plan Review & Comment

Hey Martha, I am looking forward to working with you. Don

From: FOSTER Eugene P
Sent: Thursday, April 03, 2014 11:30 AM
To: 'Turvey, Martha'
Cc: YON Donald R
Subject: RE: Oregon NPS Management Plan Review & Comment

Thanks Martha

please work with Don if you have any questions

cheers

Gene

From: Turvey, Martha [<mailto:Turvey.Martha@epa.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, April 03, 2014 9:51 AM
To: FOSTER Eugene P
Subject: FW: Oregon NPS Management Plan Review & Comment

Try again

From: Turvey, Martha
Sent: Thursday, April 03, 2014 9:48 AM
To: 'Yon.Donald@deq.state.or.us'; 'Foster.'
Cc: David Croxton; Henning, Alan; Fullagar, Jill; Jayne Carlin
Subject: FW: Oregon NPS Management Plan Review & Comment

Don/Eugene – Just to let you know, Dave did assign me the 319 work in Oregon earlier this week. Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy and there was no opportunity to have a download on what was coming up for Oregon. Dave did not mention a separation of the plan review from the 319 work so I am assuming that needs my attention, and Jayne mentioned that would be very soon. Is that correct?

It would be helpful once I have had time to look over the NPS Plan and check out the files to have a call. Maybe in a few weeks?

Martha

From: Carlin, Jayne
Sent: Wednesday, April 02, 2014 5:34 PM
To: Croxton, Dave
Cc: Henning, Alan; Wu, Jennifer; Turvey, Martha; Rueda, Helen; Fullagar, Jill
Subject: Oregon NPS Management Plan Review & Comment

Hi Dave,

As you know, Oregon submitted its Updated NPS Management Plan for our review and approval. I know you have not yet decided who will review the plan. However, I went ahead and asked Don for Rick's comments and how he addressed them. See below. This information should make it easier for the next person to review the document.

Do you know if Rick worked with our tribal folks to send out invitations for tribal consultation on the plan yet?

Cheers,

Jayne

PS I cc'ed the Oregon TMDL Team

Jayne Carlin, Watersheds Unit
US EPA, Region 10
1200 6th Ave, Suite 900 (OWW-134)
Seattle, WA 98101-3140
(206) 553-8512, (206) 553-0165 (fax)
carlin.jayne@epa.gov

<http://www.epa.gov/r10earth/tmdl.htm>

From: YON Donald R [<mailto:YON.Donald@deq.state.or.us>]
Sent: Wednesday, April 02, 2014 5:24 PM
To: Carlin, Jayne
Cc: Waye, Don; FOSTER Eugene P; YON Donald R
Subject: FW: Oregon NPS Management Plan Review & Comment

Jayne, in response to your questions in your email dated April 1, 2014.

For your first question, *"Did Rick review any earlier drafts already? If so, do you have his comments?"* Below are Rick's comments on the initial draft plan dated 10-28-2013 (attached).

Jayne, in response to your last question: *"What changes did you make from an earlier draft?"*
We addressed almost all of Rick's recommendations. The one that Oregon is not doing is providing a copy of the draft plan to Tribes. Rick has said that EPA is doing this. One of the big changes was in response to his comment #3. We developed Table 1: NPS MP Actions, Priorities, and Milestones 2014 to 2018 which is on page 12 of the current draft of the plan dated 3-28-2014 (which is also attached). Don

From: Seaborne, Rick [<mailto:Seaborne.Rick@epa.gov>]
Sent: Wednesday, November 13, 2013 4:45 PM
To: FOSTER Eugene P
Cc: YON Donald R; KISHIDA Koto; CAMACHO Ivan; SEEDS Joshua; BROWN Trina
Subject: RE: Oregon NPS Management Plan Review & Comment

Hi Gene and all:

thanks for providing the initial draft of the NPS MP update. I did look through it and have some general comments which hopefully will assist in preparation of the next agency review draft to review. The focus of my comments are more on overall content under the revised (8) NPS plan element guidance (which you had attached in your below mail along with the draft plan):

1. Page 1: to reiterate Gene's tracked comment, the executive summary should cross-reference how and where each one of the (8) listed NPS plan components are addressed in the document. After doing this, it may be more apparent where more specific information addressing key components needs to be included in the document.

2. Executive Summary and Introduction: provide additional background and context for the NPS MP update (i.e. there was a previous 1999 OR NPS MP submittal, EPA approval of that, which was the basis for NPS program implementation, then the reason for requiring this update under the new 2014 NPS program guidelines and in conformance with the (8) NPS plan components, and how the update fits in with, or supplements, the original plan...etc). The primary focus of the current document should be to update NPS MP goals, priorities, actions and milestones for next five-year period. This five year plan then provides the basis for tracking annual progress under the program.

3. The NPS MP update should include a chart or table listing those overall program goals (or priorities), actions (preferably with trackable annual milestones) over the five year period. However you believe this can best be formatted. Possibly as a separate chapter or appendix. This would include some of what is also included as bullet items throughout the narrative - to extent those are "planned actions" and not past efforts. Annual milestones are needed or otherwise be as specific as possible in the action plan schedule in order to be able to track annual progress. This should include a schedule for prioritizing state waters through the development of watershed-based plans or equivalent process. As part of that plan should elaborate on restoration priorities, and the appropriate balance between statewide programs and on-the ground projects.

4. Page 9: Suggest under "319 Grant program" description to including a reference also to:

"...Use of Annual Report to track yearly progress of implementation of the approved NPS MP.."

5. Page 10: Suggest deleting the reference (at least here) to the potential loss of 319 funds under CZARA and instead reiterate the difficulty in implementing the NPS MP measures if 319 funding reductions continue.

6. Page 23: The CNPCP section will need the links and also to be updated as the NPS plan update is developed.

7. Pages 23-25: The draft plan includes a section entitled "Incorporate EPA Watershed Plans Elements into TMDLs and Watershed Approach Basin Reports" which is important to address. This section should include any examples of state plans which meet the nine elements, and how this process is/is not working. It should also indicate (as should the NPS plan goals/actions/milestones chart) planned actions to ensure continued progress in the development and implementation of nine-element watershed based plans. Page 26 includes a draft format of how the elements could be shown to be met on a watershed basis. But it's less clear how this has been/can be done. It should be noted that the new 319 guidelines do allow limited exceptions to watershed-based plans for certain defined 319 projects.

8. Page 29: Regarding the NWQI description, updated information regarding the status of Oregon NWQI watershed monitoring can be included as the plan update is further developed.

9. Page 29-32 (objectives and strategies): As indicated in comment 3, a chart or table should be included listing overall program goals (or priorities), actions (preferably with trackable annual milestones) over a five year period. The chart can incorporate planned actions included as bullet items from this and other sections. Page 32 includes a draft chart format which may help serve this purpose.

10. Page 44: Suggest re-checking the 319 grant numbers shown on chart and also include a description of how the 319 funds which go into the PPGs (for staff program support) vs the 319 project grants (for local implementation projects) are generally utilized.

11. Page 45: Targeting of 319 funds - as over "five years" vs just "FY 2014" - under bullets should be indicated if possible.

One last question I have is whether you will be doing a public review of the draft NPS MP and/or how Tribes in the State will be included in review of the draft.

Thanks again for the opportunity to comment on this initial draft.

Rick

Rick Seaborne

Nonpoint Source-319 Program Coordinator

US EPA Region 10, Suite 900

Office of Water and Watersheds, OWW-134

1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, WA 98101

Phone (206) 553-8510

E-mail: seaborne.rick @epa.gov

From: FOSTER Eugene P <FOSTER.Eugene@deq.state.or.us>

Sent: Wednesday, October 30, 2013 9:13 AM

To: Seaborne, Rick

Cc: YON Donald R; KISHIDA Koto; CAMACHO Ivan; SEEDS Joshua; BROWN Trina; FOSTER Eugene P

Subject: FW: Oregon NPS Management Plan Review & Comment

Hi Rick

Attached is the Draft 2013 Oregon Nonpoint Source Management Plan (NPS MP). I just sent this to DEQ staff for review and comment (see email below). After we get DEQ staff comments we will send out the revised NPS MP to state and federal agencies for review and comment before we finalize the document.

Please let us know if you have any comments or wish to discuss.

cheers

Gene

From: FOSTER Eugene P

Sent: Wednesday, October 30, 2013 9:10 AM

To: NEWELL Avis; WILLIAMS Karen; DRAKE Doug; JOHNSON York; FERN Jacqueline; BLAKE Pam; MEYERS Bill; TUGAW Heather; GRAMLICH Nancy; WRIGHT Pamela; WALTZ David; BUTCHER Don; DADOLY John; DOMBROWSKI Tonya; LAMB Bonnie; AALBERS Steven; BLOOM James; BRANNAN Kevin; CALVERT Paula; CROWN Julia; HARVEY Julie; MICHIE Ryan; STEWART Sheree; MULVEY Mike; HUBLER Shannon; TULLY Martha; LOBOY Zach; MRAZIK Steve; NIGG Eric; WIGAL Jennifer; BLOOM James; BRANNAN Kevin; MICHIE Ryan; HARVEY Julie; MRAZIK Steve; PURCELL Jennifer; BELYEA David; BORISENKO Aaron; CROWN Julia; CALVERT Paula; NIGG Eric; BENNINGHOFF Benjamin; CATON Larry; ELDRIDGE Audrey; URBANOWICZ Karla; STURDEVANT Debra; MASTERSON Kevin; RICHERSON Phil

Cc: FOSTER Eugene P; BROWN Trina; YON Donald R; KISHIDA Koto; SEEDS Joshua; CAMACHO Ivan

Subject: Oregon NPS Management Plan Review & Comment

Hi Folks

Attached is the draft Oregon Nonpoint Source Management Plan (NPS MP) for your review and

comment.

Having a NPS MP is a requirement of the Clean Water Act and EPA is requiring states to update their NPS MP every five years to receive Section 319 funds (EPA memo attached and on the NPS SharePoint page). We are required to submit our NPS MP this year (2013). Oregon's current NPS MP was written in October 2000 and it is a bit outdated :)

EPA issued guidance to the states for revising the NPS MP: Section 319 Program Guidance: Key Components of an Effective State Nonpoint Source Management Program (November 2012) (attached and also on NPS SharePoint page).

We would like your review and comment on the Draft NPS MP. The Draft NPS MP is attached and is on the [NPS SharePoint page](#) with a [calendar](#).

Please review the document by November 13, 2013.

Prior to November 14th you can check out the document from the SharePoint site, make edits, and re-check-in the document.

To avoid having many different versions saved to the SharePoint site, when you are ready to make your edits, check the document out from the NPS SharePoint page, make your edits in "Track Changes", and then check the document back in to the NPS SharePoint page. Contact Trina Brown (503) 229-6982 if you have any questions or need assistance with the checkout, editing, or checking back in process.

Beginning November 14th we have a schedule (see below) for edits and comments to reduce conflicts for document checkout.

Please remember to complete the "document sign off" process in SharePoint once you have completed your review (see below).

The schedule for edits and comments is as follows:

Eastern Region - November 14th and 15th

Western Region - November 18th and 19th

Northwest Region - November 20th and 21st

Laboratory & Environmental Assessment Division - November 22nd and 25th

Headquarters - November 26th and 27th

If you have any questions about the NPS MP or EPA Key Components please ask Don Yon (503)229-6850.

If you have any questions about the SharePoint page or about the checkout process contact Trina Brown (503) 229-6982.

Thank you for your reviews and comments.







Cheers


Gene

Step	Process
------	---------

- 1 Access the SharePoint Page.
- 2 Under the “Shared Documents” area, click on the document link for the “Oregon NPS Plan”.
- 3 Select how you would like to review the document, (read or edit). *Note:* If you are editing the document it will be checked out to you. Due to tight timelines it is imperative that you check the document in as soon as you are done.
- 4 Review / Edit the document.
Find your name on the Checklist

Checklist NPS

<input type="checkbox"/>	Edit	Full Name	Document Sign Off?	Comments
<input type="checkbox"/>		Bonnie Lamb	No	
<input type="checkbox"/>		Bill Mason	No	
<input type="checkbox"/>		Doug Drake	No	
<input type="checkbox"/>		David Waltz	No	
<input type="checkbox"/>		Steve Aalbers	No	
<input type="checkbox"/>		Greg Aitken	No	

- 5
- 6 Click the “Edit” Icon. 
- 7 Sign off on the document
- 8 Add comments
- 9 Click “Save”

Checklist NPS - Basin Coordinator ER-Bend

Full Name: Bonnie Lamb

Document Sign Off: ☐

Comments:

Created at: 10/16/2013 3:00 PM by: THOMPSON, Michele
Last modified at: 10/16/2013 3:12 PM by: THOMPSON, Michele

Save Cancel